



ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CARBON EMISSION REDUCTION: POLICY AND SECTORAL STRATEGIES FOR CLIMATE ACTION

Sevtap TIRINK¹, Yusuf Alparslan ARGUN², Özgür ÇAKMAKÇI^{2*}

¹Iğdır University, Health Services Vocational School, 76000, Iğdır, Türkiye

²Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Kazım Karabekir Vocational School, 54580, Karaman, Türkiye

Abstract: Mitigating climate change requires transformative strategies that simultaneously address technological, ecological, and socio-political dimensions. Energy efficiency and carbon emission reduction stand out as key pillars in this transition, particularly when implemented through integrated, cross-sectoral approaches. Technological advances—such as digital energy management systems, renewable energy deployment, and low-emission transport—offer quantifiable mitigation potential. At the same time, nature-based solutions, including afforestation and ecosystem restoration, contribute significantly to carbon sequestration while enhancing biodiversity and social resilience. The interplay between these interventions is shaped by enabling conditions such as public awareness, legal regulation, and international collaboration. This study aims to develop a multidimensional framework that unites energy efficiency, ecological restoration, and policy design. It concludes that achieving net-zero targets and climate resilience requires systems thinking, inclusive governance, and sustained policy innovation that align environmental objectives with social justice and economic viability.

Keywords: Energy efficiency, Carbon mitigation, Nature-based solutions, Climate governance, Net-zero

*Corresponding author: Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Kazım Karabekir Vocational School, 54580, Karaman, Türkiye

E mail: ozgurcakmakci@kmu.edu.tr (Ö. ÇAKMAKÇI)

Sevtap TIRINK



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0123-0054>

Yusuf Alparslan ARGUN



<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6452-3634>

Özgür ÇAKMAKÇI



<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9152-5069>

Received: April 19, 2025

Accepted: May 24, 2025

Published: June 15, 2025

Cite as: Tirink, S., Argun, Y. A., & Çakmakçı, Ö. (2025). Energy efficiency and carbon emission reduction: Policy and sectoral strategies for climate action. *Black Sea Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 1(1), 33–49.

1. Introduction

The escalating urgency of the global climate crisis has placed energy efficiency and carbon emission reduction at the forefront of international policy and academic discourse. Rising global temperatures, extreme weather events, and the degradation of ecological systems underscore the need for comprehensive and science-based mitigation strategies (IPCC, 2023). In this context, energy efficiency—defined as the optimized use of energy inputs to perform the same functions—and the reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have become critical pillars in achieving net-zero carbon targets and ensuring a sustainable future.

Addressing these challenges requires an integrated approach that synthesizes technological innovation, policy coherence, and nature-based solutions. To effectively implement energy efficiency measures and carbon reduction strategies, it is crucial to foster collaboration among stakeholders across various sectors, including government, industry, and civil society. This collaborative framework can facilitate the co-design of policies that leverage nature-based solutions (NBS), which not only enhance energy efficiency but also restore ecosystems and improve community resilience to climate impacts. For instance, integrating green infrastructure

within urban planning can mitigate heat island effects while promoting biodiversity, ultimately contributing to both emission reductions and improved urban living conditions (Mateo and Tillie, 2022). However, the successful adoption of such holistic approaches often encounters barriers related to human behavior, such as lack of community buy-in and insufficient political will, highlighting the need for tailored engagement strategies that align stakeholder interests with sustainability goals (Castro, 2022). At the same time, targeted sectoral interventions—particularly in industry, transport, and the built environment—are vital for tailoring decarbonization efforts to specific economic and infrastructural contexts (Bera et al., 2025).

Beyond technological pathways, ecosystem restoration and afforestation have emerged as complementary solutions that enhance natural carbon sinks while also delivering socioeconomic benefits. Especially in developing countries, afforestation projects not only support carbon sequestration but also contribute to employment generation, ecotourism development, and rural livelihoods through carbon markets and sustainability-linked financial mechanisms (Geldmann et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2023; Cusens et al., 2024). These interventions must, however, be designed with care to



avoid unintended ecological trade-offs such as biodiversity loss or water scarcity (Cusens et al., 2024; Fiegenbaum, 2024).

The role of public policy and institutional support is equally crucial. Policy instruments such as emissions trading systems, carbon taxes, and ISO 50001 energy management standards provide regulatory and financial incentives that drive systemic change across sectors (Chang et al., 2024; Yıldız, 2024). Furthermore, the integration of circular economy principles—emphasizing resource efficiency, waste minimization, and industrial symbiosis—can significantly amplify the carbon mitigation impact of both technological and ecological strategies (Hudson, 2005; Chazdon, 2019).

Crucially, the success of these interventions depends not only on technical feasibility but also on social and political legitimacy. Public awareness, behavioral change, and legal regulation are key enablers of the low-carbon transition. Awareness campaigns, international agreements like the Paris Accord, and domestic legal instruments play a foundational role in ensuring public engagement and institutional accountability (Poortinga et al., 2011; Weber and Wiesmeth, 2018; Hwang et al., 2021).

This study aims to provide a comprehensive review of the multifaceted strategies for achieving energy efficiency and carbon emission reduction, with a particular focus on the intersection of technology, ecology, policy, and society. The review is structured to first explore the conceptual foundations of energy and emissions, followed by an in-depth analysis of sectoral strategies, afforestation and restoration practices, and policy instruments. Subsequently, it examines the critical role of public support mechanisms—such as awareness-raising, international collaboration, and legal regulations—in facilitating the energy transition. By integrating diverse strands of the climate mitigation discourse, this study seeks to contribute to the development of holistic, inclusive, and effective pathways toward a net-zero and climate-resilient future.

2. Integrated Climate Mitigation Strategies

2.1. Technological Innovations and Policy Instruments

The integration of technological innovations and policy instruments has become a cornerstone of climate mitigation strategies. By synergizing cutting-edge technologies with robust and adaptive policy frameworks, governments and institutions can significantly accelerate the decarbonization of economies and promote sustainable development. This dual approach not only facilitates the adoption of low-carbon solutions but also enhances their effectiveness and scalability across sectors.

Technological innovations play a pivotal role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions, particularly through energy system optimization, decarbonization of industry and transport, and enhancement of natural carbon sinks.

According to Coninck and Puig (2015), the international diffusion of climate technologies—such as photovoltaic (PV) panels, wind turbines, and energy-efficient industrial systems—is crucial for developing countries with limited innovation capacity. For instance, the deployment of solar PV mini-grids in rural India has expanded energy access while displacing diesel generators, thus contributing to both mitigation and development goals.

In the context of mobility, electric vehicles (EVs) are increasingly seen as a viable decarbonization option. Countries such as Norway have demonstrated how targeted incentives and infrastructure investments can enable EVs to reach over 80% of new car sales (Gallaher et al., 2024). Meanwhile, advancements in battery chemistry, such as solid-state lithium-ion batteries, are increasing vehicle range and reducing lifecycle emissions. Similarly, digitalization is transforming industrial energy use. Webster et al. (2024) note a 19% annual growth in climate-related digital innovations, including AI-powered energy management systems and digital twins used in manufacturing. For example, Siemens' MindSphere platform allows factories to monitor real-time energy use and optimize machinery, reducing energy waste by up to 30%.

In the power sector, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies have been implemented in large-scale facilities like the Boundary Dam power station in Canada, capturing over 1 million tons of CO₂ annually. Despite high capital costs, such projects are considered essential for decarbonizing hard-to-abate sectors such as cement and steel (Türker, 2019).

Policy instruments are essential to creating an enabling environment for the development, adoption, and diffusion of technological innovations. These instruments range from economic incentives to regulatory standards and international agreements.

Pilot programs, such as the EU Horizon 2020 initiative, have been instrumental in testing climate innovations at scale. Hughes et al. (2018) emphasize that urban climate labs—like Amsterdam's Smart City initiative—serve as policy incubators that guide national and regional climate planning.

Carbon pricing mechanisms, including emissions trading systems (ETS) and carbon taxes, have proven effective in encouraging cleaner production. For example, Sweden's carbon tax—among the highest in the world—has coincided with a 27% reduction in GHG emissions since the 1990s, without hindering economic growth (Rosenow and Eyre, 2022).

Subsidies and grants remain key to lowering the financial barriers to clean technology deployment. Germany's "Energieeffizienzprogramm" provides low-interest loans and grants for energy-efficient building renovations, accelerating retrofits in both residential and commercial sectors.

Furthermore, participatory policy instruments that involve citizens in decision-making—such as

participatory budgeting for green projects in Paris—have improved policy legitimacy and public support (Ribeiro et al., 2025). This ensures that climate innovations align with social needs and do not exacerbate existing inequalities.

The effectiveness of climate innovations depends not only on their technological merit but also on the governance systems that support their diffusion. Jänicke (2015) highlights how multilevel governance structures—combining local experimentation with national and international frameworks—enhance policy coherence and facilitate innovation scaling.

For instance, the integration of low-carbon technologies into national climate targets under the Paris Agreement encourages countries to align their industrial, energy, and transport policies with global sustainability goals (Chandel et al., 2024). Countries like Denmark have embedded wind energy into their national strategy through both technology support and ambitious renewable portfolio standards, making them a global leader in wind energy penetration.

Nonetheless, scholars like Stephens (2024) remind us that technological optimism must be tempered by attention to equity, justice, and inclusivity. Technological solutions must be embedded within broader societal transitions that include just labor policies, gender equity, and anti-racist governance approaches.

2.2. Nature-Based Solutions and Ecosystem Restoration

Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) and ecosystem restoration are crucial components of integrated climate mitigation strategies, emphasizing the preservation and enhancement of natural ecosystems to combat climate change. NBS includes practices such as afforestation, wetland restoration, and riparian restoration, leveraging the inherent capabilities of ecosystems to sequester carbon, regulate water cycles, and enhance biodiversity (Seddon et al. 2020; Su et al., 2021). Restoring mangroves has been highlighted for its economic and ecological benefits, specifically as highly effective carbon sinks and contributors to national climate strategies (Su et al., 2021).

The effectiveness of ecosystem restoration depends on context-specific approaches that align with the unique environmental and social dynamics of the targeted areas. Seavy et al. (2009) assert that adapting restoration practices to the challenges posed by climate change is essential for sustaining riparian ecosystems. Moreover, Zhou et al. (2022) found that restoration success greatly relies on climatic conditions, emphasizing the need for tailored strategies in diverse habitats to achieve intended environmental outcomes.

Ecosystem restoration not only contributes to climate mitigation but also enhances community well-being. Initiatives such as the United Nations Decade on Ecosystem Restoration aim to promote global action, acknowledging the interconnected social, economic, and environmental aspects that underpin successful NbS

implementation (Ren and Coffman, 2023). Therefore, a multifaceted approach that prioritizes both ecological integrity and community engagement is vital for effective climate mitigation through NbS and ecosystem restoration.

2.2.1. Importance of afforestation

Afforestation is a key strategy in integrated climate mitigation approaches, particularly as a subset of NBS and ecosystem restoration efforts. It contributes significantly to carbon sequestration, helping to stabilize atmospheric CO₂ levels and mitigate climate change impacts (Humpenöder et al. 2014; Wang et al., 2023). By increasing forest biomass, afforestation enhances both biogeochemical and biophysical processes, which can ultimately influence regional climate patterns (Yosef et al., 2018). For example, studies have demonstrated that afforestation not only sequesters carbon but can also modify surface albedo, affecting local climate systems by potentially increasing precipitation in semi-arid regions (Yosef et al., 2018).

Moreover, afforestation serves dual objectives of climate mitigation and biodiversity enhancement. However, it is essential to implement afforestation initiatives strategically, considering local ecological contexts. Breil et al. argue that improper afforestation practices can lead to biodiversity loss and ecosystem service declines, necessitating careful planning to maintain ecosystem multifunctionality (Prangel et al., 2023). Furthermore, the effectiveness of afforestation can be undermined if it replaces grasslands or other valuable ecosystems critical for supporting biodiversity and providing ecosystem services (Prangel et al., 2023).

Consequently, integrating afforestation within a broader ecosystem restoration framework allows for synergistic benefits that enhance resilience to climate change while maximizing ecological integrity and community well-being (Duveiller et al., 2021). Overall, when executed judiciously, afforestation remains a potent tool in the arsenal of climate mitigation strategies, contributing significantly to global efforts aimed at achieving climate neutrality.

2.2.2. Carbon sequestration potential

The carbon sequestration potential of integrated climate mitigation strategies involving NBS and ecosystem restoration is substantial and multifaceted, driven primarily by afforestation efforts. Afforestation serves as an effective means of enhancing carbon stocks in both biomass and soil, thereby contributing to the reduction of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (He et al., 2015). For instance, projects in China have demonstrated significant carbon sequestration capacities attributed to large-scale afforestation, emphasizing the importance of diverse tree species and soil management practices (He et al., 2015). Moreover, the rank of carbon sequestration benefits from afforestation can vary geographically. Research indicates that afforestation on abandoned agricultural lands can significantly enhance carbon sequestration, especially in areas such as southern China, where biological carbon

storage potential is higher (Yu et al., 2025). Additionally, roadside plantations, utilizing participatory management, have shown promising results in increasing carbon stocks while providing multiple co-benefits, including biodiversity enhancement and soil stabilization (Rahman et al., 2015; Srouf et al., 2024).

However, it is crucial to consider the ecological context of afforestation practices, as outcomes can vary based on initial soil properties and landscape characteristics (Hong et al., 2023). For example, certain afforestation strategies may negatively impact soil carbon dynamics if implemented in nitrogen-rich areas, potentially leading to unintended carbon loss (Hong et al., 2023). Consequently, integrated strategies that encompass careful site selection and management of afforestation projects are essential for optimizing carbon sequestration while ensuring ecological integrity (Hasegawa et al., 2024).

2.2.3. Socioeconomic impacts of afforestation and ecosystem restoration

Afforestation and ecosystem restoration are important not only in terms of environmental sustainability but also in terms of providing socioeconomic benefits. Especially in developing countries, these projects offer advantages such as creating employment, promoting ecotourism, and supporting economic development through carbon credit markets (Geldmann et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2023). Large-scale ecosystem restoration projects carried out in countries such as Brazil, Indonesia, and Congo provide both environmental and economic benefits by being integrated with carbon markets (Cusens et al., 2024).

Carbon credit systems are one of the important financial mechanisms that encourage private sector investment in afforestation projects (Chazdon, 2019). As of 2020, the market value of forest-based carbon credits worldwide has reached serious figures (Burke et al., 2023; Swinfield and Scott, 2025; Awazi, 2025). However, the effectiveness of carbon markets depends on strengthening transparency and accountability mechanisms (Saraji and Borowczak, 2021; Tsai, 2025). Programs such as REDD+ aim to reduce carbon emissions and protect forest ecosystems, contributing to economic development while also improving the well-being of local communities. However, some studies have shown that the impacts of afforestation projects on socio-ecological systems should be carefully managed, otherwise they may have negative consequences such as loss of biodiversity and depletion of water resources (Cusens et al., 2024). A study conducted in Finland has shown that forestry activities can cause local microclimate changes while providing carbon offsets (Liu et al., 2023). Therefore, an integrated approach that includes both environmental and socioeconomic factors is required for successful ecosystem restoration (Fiegenbaum, 2024; Cho et al., 2025).

2.2.4. Implementation challenges and future perspectives

There are some challenges in the implementation of

afforestation and ecosystem restoration projects. These include legal uncertainties regarding land use rights, long-term financing shortages, and unpredictable effects of climate change on ecosystems (Lewis et al., 2019; Waring et al., 2020; Liu, 2022; Andres et al., 2023). In particular, increasing temperature and changing precipitation regimes may negatively affect the carbon storage capacity of ecosystems by increasing forest fires and biotic stress factors (Morkovina, 2024; Pita et al., 2024). However, developing remote sensing and artificial intelligence-based monitoring systems offer new opportunities in evaluating the effectiveness of afforestation projects and detecting ecosystem changes. For example, thanks to remote sensing systems, the biomass increase, carbon sequestration and biodiversity effects of afforested areas can be monitored more accurately (Oldfield et al., 2013). In addition, unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)-assisted forest regeneration stands out as a new method that provides rapid and effective restoration of forest ecosystems (Ali et al., 2025). However, one of the biggest obstacles to the widespread use of these technologies is factors such as cost-effectiveness, seed retention rates and suitability for the ecological conditions of the land (Mohan et al., 2021; Hızıroğlu and Semiz, 2024). The success of forest and ecosystem restoration projects depends not only on technical and environmental factors, but also on social acceptance, support from policy makers and participation of local people in the process. Ignoring socioeconomic factors can jeopardize the sustainability of projects (Liu, 2022; Elias et al., 2024; Birben et al., 2025; Missanjo et al., 2025). Therefore, by combining scientific developments and community-oriented solutions with an integrated approach, the long-term success of ecosystem restoration projects can be ensured (Díaz et al., 2018; Vincent, 2024).

2.3. Sectoral Strategies for Energy Efficiency

Energy efficiency is critical for achieving climate goals and sustainable development across various sectors. The strategies to enhance energy efficiency encompass modern technologies, policy frameworks, and innovative practices tailored for specific industries, ultimately resulting in reduced energy consumption and emissions. In the building sector, a significant focus is on using energy-saving techniques to optimize thermal performance. It has been shown that improving the thermal inertia of building materials can substantially enhance energy conservation while maintaining comfort levels for occupants, thereby aligning with the objectives for sustainable architecture Beithou et al. (2010). This includes the incorporation of passive solar designs, energy-efficient HVAC systems, and advanced insulation materials that collectively contribute to lowered energy needs (Ruan, 2019).

In the industrial context, optimizing operations and integrating renewable energy into existing processes have emerged as essential methods for increasing energy efficiency. Ekechukwu and Simpa (2024) highlight that

integrating renewable energy technologies can bolster climate resilience by reducing reliance on fossil fuels and promoting sustainable practices across various sectors. Additionally, the textile industry's energy demands can be effectively managed through demand-side policies that incentivize conservation measures, contributing to both lower energy bills and reduced environmental footprints (Ali and Nawaz, 2013).

The integration of thermal energy systems in wastewater treatment plants presents another avenue for boosting energy efficiency. Neugebauer et al. (2015) noted that optimizing the energetic output of these facilities can lead to significant resource savings and reduction in waste streams, indicating that energy recovery techniques from municipal operations play a vital role in the transition towards more feasible regional energy systems.

Additionally, adopting technologies such as district heating can enhance energy efficiency in urban areas. By utilizing waste heat and significantly improving insulation, district heating systems enable more efficient energy consumption (Zach et al., 2019). This model effectively stabilizes energy costs and reduces emissions, further promoting renewable sources and smart grid technologies (Kabir et al., 2021).

2.3.1. Overview of sectoral emissions

The integration of sectoral strategies for energy efficiency is vital for reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions across various industries. These strategies encompass a range of initiatives tailored specifically to different sectors, including industrial, residential, transportation, and electric power sectors.

In the industrial sector, the challenge of balancing economic growth with emissions reduction is pronounced. Guo et al. (2020) highlight the need to decouple economic development from GHG emissions in industrial parks, advocating for improved energy efficiency and reduced reliance on coal. The study emphasizes that enhancing energy infrastructure can significantly mitigate emissions while also addressing air pollution and resource consumption. A specific approach involves energy audits that identify inefficiencies and suggest improvements. For example, energy management systems have been shown to help industrial facilities reduce their energy consumption by approximately 20-30%, which correlates with significant emissions reductions as noted by research conducted in Morocco (Chramate, 2019).

The automotive and transportation sectors are also critical to emissions reduction strategies. The shift towards electric vehicles (EVs) represents a substantial opportunity for cutting emissions from conventional motorized vehicles. Fitriana et al. (2023) argue that while electric vehicles reduce GHG emissions in transportation, the associated increased demand for electricity must be met with renewable energy to avoid exacerbating emissions in the power sector. Evaluating the net impact requires understanding the energy mix in the power

generation sector comprehensively.

In the residential sector, energy efficiency measures directly impact reducing emissions from buildings, which account for a significant share of global energy consumption. Studies have shown that retrofitting existing housing stock can lead to marked decreases in energy use, which is necessary given the slow turnover of this sector (Mata et al., 2013). Furthermore, economic incentives play a crucial role in promoting energy efficiency, as demonstrated in various economic experiments aimed at improving residential energy performance (Belenguer et al., 2019).

The energy generation sector itself is a primary source of emissions, with coal-powered facilities significantly contributing to CO₂ output. Research indicates that policies aimed at improving coal-fired generation efficiency and transitioning toward renewable energy can significantly alter emissions trajectories in countries like China (Khanna et al., 2016). Optimizing energy systems and implementing renewable targets—integrated with efficiency improvements—could reshape emissions outlooks considerably (Zhou et al., 2020). For instance, the substantial share of emissions from electricity generation in Macedonia underscores the need for decarbonization strategies in this sector, where electricity generation comprises approximately 70% of total GHG emissions (Ćosić et al., 2012).

2.3.2. Energy efficiency applications in the industrial sector

The industrial sector plays a critical role in achieving net zero targets, accounting for approximately 37% of global energy consumption (Sundaramoorthy et al., 2023; Yıldız, 2024). Strategies such as waste heat recovery, high-efficiency engines, cogeneration systems (CHP), and process improvements are being implemented to increase energy efficiency (Matsunaga et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2023; Hasan and Trianni, 2023). For example, in the iron and steel sector, blast furnace gas recovery and electric arc furnaces significantly reduce energy consumption (Bera et al., 2025). In the cement sector, waste heat recovery systems can reduce energy consumption by 15-20% (Wilson et al., 2024). Digitalization and Industry 4.0 technologies offer significant opportunities to increase energy efficiency in industry (Segun-Falade et al., 2024). Big data analytics, AI-enabled production processes, smart sensors, and automation systems enable real-time monitoring and optimization of energy consumption (Price et al., 2023; Ioshchikhes et al., 2024). Digital twin technology and IoT-based systems increase operational efficiency by reducing energy waste in production (Segun-Falade et al., 2024).

Energy management systems (EMS) are important tools used to make energy use more efficient in industry (Anoune et al., 2024). AI-enabled predictive analytics and load management solutions can prevent overconsumption by optimizing energy demand (Nhật, 2024). Strategies such as demand side management

(DSM) make energy consumption of industrial facilities flexible and low-carbon (Bera et al., 2025).

Various financial and regulatory mechanisms are being put in place to support energy efficiency efforts in the industrial sector. Carbon pricing, emissions trading systems (ETS) and ISO 50001 energy management standards encourage the transition of industry to energy efficient technologies (Yıldız, 2024; Chang et al., 2024). The Energy Efficiency Law (5627), which entered into force in Türkiye, determines the frameworks for industrial facilities to achieve energy savings (Yıldız, 2024). In addition, low-interest loans, energy efficiency subsidies and tax incentives contribute to the spread of energy savings in industry (Biondi et al., 2024). Although energy efficiency applications in the industrial sector provide cost savings and emission reductions, there are some obstacles in implementation (Bera et al., 2025). High initial costs, long payback periods and technological adaptation difficulties limit the adoption of efficient technologies (Pappalardo, 2025). However, the advancement of digitalization, increased integration of renewable energy, and expansion of government support will overcome these obstacles and accelerate the transition to a lower-carbon production structure in the industrial sector (Rosenow and Eyre, 2022).

2.3.3. Energy efficiency and electrification in transportation

The transportation sector is one of the main sources of carbon emissions, accounting for 30% of global energy consumption, and energy efficiency is of great importance in achieving net zero targets (Rinaldi et al., 2023; Timilsina et al., 2025). Electric vehicles (EVs) are 60-70% more efficient than fossil fuel vehicles and are considered one of the most effective strategies for reducing emissions (Zhan, 2024; Gallaher et al., 2024). Hybrid and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles offer low-carbon alternatives, while lightweight materials, aerodynamic designs, and low-friction tires optimize vehicle energy consumption (Xiao, 2024; Yang et al., 2025; Soni et al., 2025). EV charging infrastructure and vehicle-to-building (V2B) strategies increase the sustainability of electric transportation systems, reducing grid dependency and peak loads (Lo et al., 2023). However, alternative fuels such as hydrogen and methanol offer a low-carbon solution, especially for older fleets and long-distance applications (Khan et al., 2023). Strengthening public transportation, expanding bicycle paths, and urban pedestrian-friendly planning are complementary elements that support energy efficiency in transportation (Xiao, 2024). One of the biggest challenges to the electrification process is seen as the lack of charging infrastructure and energy grid integration (Li and Zhang, 2024; Wang, 2024). In addition, the supply of critical materials such as lithium and cobalt used in EV batteries poses a significant problem in terms of sustainability (Li and Zhang, 2024; Xiao, 2024). In addition, labor and economic transformation in the traditional automotive sector stands out as an issue that needs to be managed

through policies (Murillo et al., 2024).

Tax breaks, charging infrastructure investments, and subsidies that encourage renewable energy integration from governments are accelerating the spread of electric transportation systems (Timilsina et al., 2025). Studies on fleet transformation show that switching from hybrid vehicles to EVs can maximize emissions reductions (Gallaher et al., 2024). Overall, electrification of the transportation sector stands out as a key strategy for reducing carbon emissions and should be supported by comprehensive infrastructure investments, policy support, and technological innovations (Rosenow and Eyre, 2022).

2.3.4. Energy efficiency in buildings and urban areas

Buildings have great potential for energy efficiency measures, accounting for 35% of global energy consumption and 20% of carbon emissions (Mandel et al., 2023; Mastrucci et al., 2024). Passive design principles, high-insulation glass, use of thermal mass, and natural ventilation systems significantly reduce energy consumption by minimizing the heating and cooling needs of buildings (Cao, 2025; Huan, 2025). Advanced insulation materials such as aerogels and vacuum panels further increase energy efficiency by preventing heat losses (Sabir et al., 2024). Smart building systems and Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS) can reduce energy consumption by 20-30% thanks to IoT-based sensors, automation systems and artificial intelligence-supported energy management (Babiarz et al., 2024; Mustapha et al., 2025). Net zero energy buildings (ZEB) aim to reduce energy consumption to near zero by integrating renewable resources such as solar, wind and geothermal energy (Bera et al., 2025). The European Union's "Energy Efficiency First" principle aims to minimize the need for additional energy production by increasing energy savings in buildings (Mandel et al., 2023). Industry 4.0-based HVAC systems and efficient lighting solutions increase the energy efficiency of building operations by 16% and reduce costs by 15% (Seraj et al., 2024). Air-source variable refrigerant flow (VRF) systems and photovoltaic (PV) systems are important solutions that increase building energy efficiency, especially in hot and temperate climates (Kim et al., 2020). In addition, strategies such as Quality-Adapted Energy Flows (Q-MEF) can reduce net electricity consumption by up to 100% by maximizing the use of renewable energy in buildings (Novelli et al., 2022). To support the expansion of energy-efficient buildings, tax incentives, low-interest loans, and subsidies are accelerating the adoption of energy-saving technologies (Morshed et al., 2024). Subsidized financing for PV systems makes net-zero energy buildings economically viable, especially in colder climates (Kim et al., 2020). However, energy efficiency policies, energy performance certificates, and the mandatory use of smart sensors are among the regulations that support sustainable transformation in the building sector (Ghanim, 2024; Mustapha et al., 2025). Although

developments in energy efficiency are promising, some challenges remain. Financial and technological barriers, behavioral barriers, and difficulties in sustainable material supply limit the spread of energy-efficient practices (Igugu et al., 2024; Bera et al., 2025). Innovative policies, increased financial support mechanisms, and incentive systems that increase consumer awareness are of great importance to overcome these barriers. In achieving global net zero targets, the advancement of digitalization, the integration of renewable energy sources, and the smartening of buildings are considered to be key elements of the energy efficiency transformation (Rosenow and Eyre, 2022).

2.3.5. Policies and financial incentives

Energy efficiency policies and financial incentives play a critical role in achieving net zero targets. Carbon pricing is one of the most effective mechanisms to encourage energy efficiency investments, and programs such as the European Union's Emissions Trading System (ETS) support the transition to low-carbon technologies in the industrial and energy sectors (Chang et al., 2024). ETS and other carbon pricing mechanisms accelerate the adoption of energy-efficient solutions by making emissions cost-effective (Rosenow and Eyre, 2022). Subsidies, low-interest loans, and green financing mechanisms that encourage energy efficiency are important incentive tools, especially for the building sector and industry (Biondi et al., 2024; Pappalardo, 2025). Tax credits, grant programs, and subsidized financing are effective in reducing carbon emissions by increasing energy efficiency in buildings (Morshed et al., 2024). For example, subsidies for PV installations make net zero energy buildings more affordable (Kim et al., 2020).

Regulatory frameworks include legal regulations and performance standards that mandate energy efficiency. The International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) and ASHRAE standards direct developers to build buildings with high energy performance (Morshed et al., 2024). The European Union's Energy Efficiency Principle (EE1) has made it legally binding to prioritize energy savings in policy and investment decisions (von Malmborg, 2024). Türkiye's Energy Efficiency Law (5627) and ISO 50001 standards are among the regulatory frameworks that improve energy management in the industrial sector (Yıldız, 2024).

In the transportation sector, tax incentives for the widespread use of EVs, investments in charging infrastructure, and subsidies accelerate the transition from fossil fuel vehicles to electric vehicles (Timilsina et al., 2025). Studies show that switching from hybrid vehicles to EVs is effective in reducing carbon emissions (Gallaher et al., 2024). However, green finance regulations standardize institutional practices and direct private sector investments to low-carbon technologies (Pappalardo, 2025).

The availability of financial incentives and regional disparities can make it difficult to widely adopt energy-

efficient technologies (Morshed et al., 2024). Furthermore, the risk of over-financialization of sustainability can lead to market imbalances, and poorly designed incentive mechanisms can undermine economic and environmental goals (Biondi et al., 2024; Pappalardo, 2025).

As a result, energy efficiency policies are seen as one of the most cost-effective strategies to reduce carbon emissions. However, the harmonization of carbon pricing, financial incentives, green investments, and regulatory reforms will determine the success of the energy transition. Comprehensive policy support, international cooperation, and private sector participation are critical to achieving a sustainable energy future (Bera et al., 2025).

2.4. Cross-cutting Integration of Climate Strategies

The increasing complexity of climate change necessitates a holistic approach that integrates both technological advancements and nature-based solutions. While energy efficiency and sector-specific decarbonization strategies provide quantifiable pathways for emissions reduction, afforestation and ecosystem restoration offer significant carbon sinks and broader ecological co-benefits. The synergy between these approaches is crucial to achieving net-zero targets in a sustainable and socially equitable manner.

2.4.1. Synergies between technology and nature-based approaches

Combining digital technologies with ecosystem-based strategies can significantly enhance the effectiveness of mitigation efforts. For instance, the use of AI-powered remote sensing technologies in afforestation projects facilitates real-time monitoring of biomass growth, carbon sequestration, and biodiversity outcomes (Oldfield et al., 2013; Ali et al., 2025). Similarly, industrial applications of energy efficiency can be complemented by carbon offset mechanisms derived from reforestation projects (Chazdon, 2019). Thus, a synergistic design of interventions amplifies the climate benefits of each component.

2.4.2. Systems thinking in climate policy design

Integrated climate strategies must move beyond siloed approaches. Systems thinking involves understanding the interconnections between sectors, feedback loops, and long-term effects. For example, improvements in urban building efficiency can reduce energy demand, but also indirectly support transportation electrification by lowering grid pressure during peak periods (Novelli et al., 2022; Wang, 2024). Similarly, sustainable forestry can influence microclimates, water cycles, and agricultural productivity, with implications across multiple SDGs (Liu et al., 2023; Fiengenbaum, 2024).

2.4.3. Ensuring equity and just transition

While technological innovation and ecosystem restoration offer mitigation potential, their implementation must be equitable. Large-scale afforestation, if not designed inclusively, can displace local communities or impact biodiversity negatively

(Cusens et al., 2024). Similarly, energy efficiency transitions in industry and transport sectors require the reskilling of labor forces and social support mechanisms (Murillo et al., 2024). Therefore, climate strategies must embed principles of just transition, ensuring that the shift to a low-carbon economy does not exacerbate social inequalities.

3. Social and Political Support

Achieving the net zero target is an ambitious yet essential goal in the global fight against climate change. Central to this transformation is the interplay of social and political support, which encompasses raising public awareness, fostering international cooperation, and implementing effective legal regulations. Each of these elements plays a critical role in facilitating the transition toward a carbon-neutral future.

Raising public awareness about environmental sustainability is fundamental in encouraging individuals and institutions to adopt low-carbon lifestyles. Research indicates that climate change awareness significantly influences public perception and behavior. For instance, studies have shown that individuals who are more aware of climate change are more likely to engage in pro-environmental behaviors and support policies aimed at reducing carbon emissions (Hamilton, 2016; Hwang et al., 2021; Hwang et al., 2024). Awareness campaigns that educate the public about climate change, carbon footprints, and renewable energy can foster a collective sense of responsibility, which is crucial for the widespread acceptance of sustainable practices (Buloshi and Ramadan, 2015; Ricart et al., 2018; Shahid, 2023). The media, academic institutions, and civil society organizations are pivotal in this process, as they can effectively disseminate information and engage the public in discussions about climate change (Mavrodieva et al., 2019; Gopinath et al., 2023).

Moreover, communication strategies that are supported by scientific data enhance public sensitivity to environmental policies. For example, social media and digital platforms have been shown to increase climate change awareness among various demographics, particularly younger audiences (Sulistyawati et al., 2018; Mavrodieva et al., 2019). Effective messaging that resonates with the public can lead to behavioral changes contributing to carbon reduction efforts. Additionally, emotional framing in media reports can engage the public more effectively, fostering a sense of urgency and collective action against climate change (Höijer, 2010; Myers et al., 2012).

International cooperation is another crucial element in achieving the net zero target. Collaborative efforts among countries facilitate knowledge sharing and the transfer of effective policies and technologies. Global agreements, such as the Paris Agreement, unite nations around common goals to reduce carbon emissions and promote low-carbon technologies (Hwang et al., 2021; Shi and He, 2023). These agreements not only set binding targets for

emission reductions but also encourage financial mechanisms that support developing countries in their transition to sustainable energy systems (Poortinga et al., 2011). The importance of multilateral platforms cannot be overstated, as they provide a framework for countries to collaborate on climate action and ensure that the benefits of sustainable practices are equitably distributed (Fitzpatrick and Dunn, 2019).

Furthermore, international initiatives play a vital role in enhancing access to sustainable energy and green economy policies for developing nations. For instance, programs focusing on capacity building and technology transfer can effectively empower these countries to implement their climate action plans (Poortinga et al., 2011). Such collaborations can lead to a more inclusive and equitable transformation, ensuring that all nations can contribute to and benefit from the transition to a low-carbon economy (Fitzpatrick and Dunn, 2019).

Legal regulations are essential tools for achieving the net zero target. Effective legal frameworks, such as carbon pricing mechanisms, emissions trading systems, and green financing policies, are critical in driving the transition to a low-carbon economy (Poortinga et al., 2011; Weber and Wiesmeth, 2018). These regulations create economic incentives for businesses and individuals to reduce their carbon emissions and invest in renewable energy sources. For instance, carbon taxes have effectively decreased emissions in various sectors, including industry and energy (Poortinga et al., 2011; Weber and Wiesmeth, 2018).

Moreover, implementing strict regulatory frameworks can significantly reduce fossil fuel consumption and promote sustainable production processes. By establishing clear guidelines and standards, governments can encourage industries to adopt cleaner technologies and practices (Poortinga et al., 2011; Weber and Wiesmeth, 2018). The role of public policy in shaping the economic landscape is paramount, as it can either facilitate or hinder the transition to a sustainable future (Poortinga et al., 2011; Weber and Wiesmeth, 2018).

3.1. Awareness Raising Campaigns

Awareness-raising campaigns are pivotal in enhancing societal understanding of environmental sustainability, which is essential for achieving the net zero target. These campaigns are crucial in reducing carbon emissions, promoting renewable energy sources, and fostering sustainable consumption habits. While government policies are instrumental in this transformation, the active participation of individuals and communities in making conscious choices is equally vital. Campaigns designed to raise public awareness about climate change, carbon footprints, and environmentally friendly lifestyles encourage collective action towards a more sustainable future (Zou et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2021).

Effective awareness-raising campaigns encompass educational and informational activities tailored to various segments of society. These initiatives are often grounded in communication strategies supported by

scientific data, which enhance their credibility and impact. For instance, projects that involve collaboration between media organizations, civil society groups, and academic institutions can effectively disseminate information about environmental issues to large audiences (Tang et al., 2020; Chakraborty and Thakur, 2024). Such collaborations are essential in helping individuals adopt sustainable practices in their daily lives, as they provide reliable information and foster a sense of community engagement (Abeydeera et al., 2019).

Social media platforms have emerged as powerful tools for spreading environmentally friendly habits and raising awareness on a global scale. The interactive nature of these platforms allows for real-time engagement and the sharing of information, which can significantly amplify the reach of awareness campaigns (Octaceria and Rahardja, 2020; Wu and Sun, 2022). For example, campaigns that utilize social media to promote low-carbon lifestyles can engage younger audiences who are more likely to be active on these platforms. This demographic is crucial for fostering long-term behavioral changes contributing to sustainability (Cox et al., 2022).

Interactive education programs and public participation activities are also critical components of successful awareness campaigns. These initiatives not only inform but also engage the public in meaningful ways, encouraging them to take action. For instance, practices that reward individual efforts to reduce carbon footprints can motivate people to adopt more sustainable behaviors (Wang et al., 2021; Yang and Xu, 2023). Additionally, educational programs aimed at children and young people are vital for instilling a culture of sustainability from an early age, ensuring that future generations prioritize environmental stewardship (Brandenburg, 2015).

The emphasis on environmentally friendly consumption habits and the transition to a green economy further enhance awareness campaigns' effectiveness. By highlighting the benefits of sustainable practices, these campaigns can shift public perception and encourage individuals to make more conscious choices regarding their consumption patterns (Craig et al., 2017; Chai et al., 2024). This shift is essential for reducing overall carbon emissions and achieving the net zero target, as consumer behavior plays a significant role in driving demand for sustainable products and services (Duan et al., 2019).

3.2. International Collaborations

International collaborations are essential mechanisms in achieving the net zero target, particularly given the transboundary nature of climate change. The complexity of climate-related challenges necessitates the development of common policies among nations, sharing information and technology, establishing financial support mechanisms, and coordinating efforts towards sustainable development goals. Such collaborations not only aim to produce effective solutions for reducing carbon emissions but also strive to ensure equity and

justice in the transition to a low-carbon economy (Dangles et al., 2016; Hamilton and Lubell, 2017).

International agreements, such as the Paris Agreement, the Kyoto Protocol, and the European Green Deal, serve as foundational frameworks that outline countries' commitments to reduce carbon emissions. These agreements impose obligations on states to meet specified targets while simultaneously providing financial support mechanisms for developing countries to invest in clean energy solutions (Deregibus et al., 2017; Carmen et al., 2022). Financial instruments like the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and the Green Climate Fund (GCF) are particularly significant, as they facilitate the transition to low-carbon technologies and empower developing nations to implement sustainable development projects (Lonsdale et al., 2017; Grise, 2022). A critical aspect of international collaboration is the sharing of scientific research and technological innovations. Developed countries often lead in developing renewable energy technologies, energy efficiency applications, and carbon capture and storage (CCS) systems, which are vital for global emission reductions (Sweileh, 2020; Stanišić et al., 2021). Partnerships between universities, research centers, and international organizations play a pivotal role in disseminating scientific data and innovative solutions worldwide, enhancing the collective capacity to address climate change (Kemp et al., 2015; Saidaliyeva et al., 2024).

Regional collaborations also significantly impact the achievement of net zero targets. For instance, the European Union's climate policies provide a robust framework for developing emissions trading systems and promoting renewable energy usage among member states (Franklin et al., 2018; Landman et al., 2019). Similar collaborative efforts are emerging in regions such as Asia-Pacific and Latin America, where countries increasingly recognize the importance of collective action in addressing climate change (Savo et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2021). Additionally, intercity collaborations, exemplified by initiatives like the C40 Climate Leadership Group, support major cities in achieving their carbon neutrality goals and encourage the adoption of sustainable practices on a global scale (Ensor and Harvey, 2015; Doss-Gollin et al., 2019).

Climate change is a global issue transcending national boundaries, underscored by the necessity of international collaborations. Without strong global partnerships, making significant progress in combating climate change would be challenging. Therefore, strengthening international partnerships among governments, the private sector, academia, and civil society organizations is crucial for fostering a sustainable and low-carbon future (Sterle and Singletary, 2017; Rhoné et al., 2020).

Moreover, the role of collaborative governance in climate change adaptation is increasingly recognized. Effective governance structures that facilitate collaboration across

different spatial and institutional scales can enhance the implementation of climate policies and promote adaptive capacity (Wall et al., 2017; Loporcaro et al., 2023). By fostering networks that connect various stakeholders, including local communities, policymakers, and researchers, collaborative governance can lead to more effective and equitable climate action (Baird et al., 2015; Bell et al., 2022).

In conclusion, international collaborations are foundational to achieving the net zero target. They enable the sharing of knowledge and resources, foster equitable transitions to low-carbon economies, and facilitate the implementation of innovative solutions to combat climate change. The commitment to collaborative efforts at both global and regional levels is essential for addressing the multifaceted challenges of climate change and ensuring a sustainable future for all (McEvoy et al., 2019; Whitney et al., 2020).

3.3. Legal Regulations

Legal regulations are a fundamental policy tool in achieving the net zero target, as they establish the necessary framework to reduce carbon emissions, enhance energy efficiency, and fulfill sustainable development goals. A robust legal framework is essential for facilitating the transition to a low-carbon economy, involving the development of laws, regulatory mechanisms, and incentive policies at both national and international levels. Such regulations are critical in accelerating the adoption of sustainable practices across various sectors, thereby contributing to the overarching goal of carbon neutrality (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

One of the most significant legal instruments designed to control carbon emissions is the implementation of carbon pricing mechanisms. Carbon taxes and emissions trading systems (ETS) are two primary approaches that incentivize reducing fossil fuel consumption. A carbon tax imposes a cost on carbon emissions, encouraging high-emission sectors to transition to more environmentally friendly alternatives. Conversely, emissions trading systems allow companies to buy and sell emission allowances within a predetermined carbon budget, creating a market-driven approach to reducing emissions (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023). Successful examples of such regulations include the European Union Emission Trading System (EU ETS) and China's national carbon market, both of which have effectively reduced emissions while promoting economic growth (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

In addition to carbon pricing, regulations that promote renewable energy and energy efficiency are vital in achieving net zero targets. Governments increasingly implement various incentive mechanisms to stimulate investments in renewable energy sources. These include renewable energy support tariffs (feed-in tariffs), green certificate systems, and direct subsidies, collectively making clean energy options more economically viable (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023). Furthermore,

regulations establishing energy efficiency standards and mandatory green certification systems for buildings contribute to sustainable development by significantly reducing reliance on fossil fuels (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

Legal frameworks that support low-carbon transformation in the industrial and transportation sectors are equally crucial. For instance, regulations promoting electric vehicle use are instrumental in achieving zero-emission transportation targets. In heavy industries, such as cement, steel, and chemicals, mandatory carbon reporting systems and emission reduction targets are established to ensure accountability and drive progress towards sustainability (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023). Additionally, laws governing waste management and the circular economy are essential for minimizing carbon footprints and promoting resource efficiency (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

International legal regulations also play a pivotal role in guiding countries toward their net-zero targets. The Paris Agreement, for instance, encourages nations to adhere to their carbon reduction commitments, while regional initiatives like the European Green Deal reinforce the tightening of environmental regulations (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023). Organizations such as the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) provide critical support to countries in shaping their climate change policies and ensuring compliance with international standards (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

The practical implementation of legal regulations is paramount for achieving net zero targets. These regulations not only mandate emission reductions but also encourage the adoption of sustainable solutions across various sectors. Without a strong legal framework, the successful realization of net zero targets would be significantly hindered. Therefore, it is essential to strengthen international collaboration among governments, the private sector, academia, and civil society organizations to ensure that these regulations are effectively enforced and supported (Duan et al., 2022; Hoy et al., 2023).

4. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that achieving meaningful progress in climate mitigation requires a comprehensive, integrated approach that synergizes technological innovation, nature-based solutions, sectoral strategies, and robust policy frameworks. Through a multi-layered analysis of energy efficiency and carbon emission reduction strategies across various domains—including industry, transportation, the built environment, and ecosystem restoration—the research emphasizes the importance of designing interventions that are not only technologically feasible but also socially equitable and ecologically sound.

Technological advancements such as digital energy management systems, electric vehicles, smart buildings, and carbon capture and storage (CCS) offer critical tools to decarbonize energy-intensive systems. However, these innovations can only reach their full potential when supported by coherent policy instruments, including carbon pricing, subsidies, regulatory standards, and international agreements like the Paris Accord. Moreover, the study has shown that nature-based solutions—particularly afforestation, wetland restoration, and biodiversity-enhancing practices—represent a vital complement to technical measures, with the added value of delivering socio-economic and ecological co-benefits.

Sector-specific strategies remain indispensable to addressing the unique characteristics and emissions profiles of different economic sectors. The integration of renewable energy, electrification of transport, energy retrofitting of buildings, and circular economy models exemplify how tailored interventions can align operational efficiency with climate goals. At the same time, this research underscores the crucial role of cross-cutting mechanisms—such as systems thinking, stakeholder inclusion, and participatory governance—in ensuring the cohesion, scalability, and legitimacy of climate policies.

Furthermore, the analysis highlights that public awareness, international collaboration, and legal regulations are not peripheral but central to the low-carbon transition. Behavioral change, institutional capacity, and regulatory enforcement collectively determine the effectiveness and sustainability of mitigation strategies. Without social and political support, even the most sophisticated technological or ecological interventions risk failure.

In conclusion, the path to a net-zero and climate-resilient future lies in the convergence of science, policy, technology, and society. Effective climate action is not a function of isolated solutions but rather the product of integrated, context-sensitive, and justice-oriented strategies. Future research should explore the long-term socio-political dynamics of climate policy implementation and deepen the evaluation of hybrid strategies that bridge technological and ecological domains. Ultimately, achieving climate neutrality requires sustained international cooperation, inclusive policy design, and a commitment to innovation that places environmental and social well-being at its core.

Author Contributions

The percentages of the authors’ contributions are presented below. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

	S.T.	Y.A.A.	Ö.Ç.
C	30	30	40
D	30	30	40
S	30	30	40
DCP	30	30	40
DAI	30	30	40
L	30	30	40
W	30	30	40
CR	30	30	40
SR	30	30	40
PM	30	30	40
FA	30	30	40

C=Concept, D= design, S= supervision, DCP= data collection and/or processing, DAI= data analysis and/or interpretation, L= literature search, W= writing, CR= critical review, SR= submission and revision, PM= project management, FA= funding acquisition.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

Abeydeera, L. H. U. W., Jayantha, W. M., & Samarasinghalage, T. I. (2019). Perception of embodied carbon mitigation strategies: The case of Sri Lankan construction industry. *Sustainability*, 11(11), 3030. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11113030>

Ali, G., Mijwil, M. M., Adamopoulos, I., & Ayad, J. (2025). Leveraging the internet of things, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence for sustainable forest management. *Babylonian Journal of Internet of Things*, 2025, 1–65.

Ali, H., & Nawaz, M. (2013). Energy crisis and productive inefficiency: Micro-evidence from textile sector of Faisalabad. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 52(4), 447–465. <https://doi.org/10.30541/v52i4ipp.447-465>

Andres, S. E., Standish, R. J., Lieurance, P. E., Mills, C. H., Harper, R. J., Butler, D. W., & Gallagher, R. V. (2023). Defining biodiverse reforestation: Why it matters for climate change mitigation and biodiversity. *Plants, People, Planet*, 5(1), 27–38. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10329>

Anoune, K., Ghazi, M., Ghazouani, M., Nasiri, B., & Zebraoui, O. (2024). Empowering industry through energy auditing: A case study of savings and sustainability. *International Journal of Applied Power Engineering*, 13(4), 952–962. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijape.v13.i4.pp952-962>

Awazi, N. P. (2025). Driving sustainable agroforestry through carbon credit-based policies: Realities and perspectives. *Natural Resources Conservation and Research*, 8(1), 10184. <https://doi.org/10.24294/nrcr10184>

Babiarz, B., Krawczyk, D. A., Siuta-Olcha, A., Manuel, C. D., Jaworski, A., Barnat, E., & Rynkowski, P. (2024). Energy efficiency in buildings: Toward climate neutrality. *Energies*, 17(18), 4680. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17184680>

Baird, J., Plummer, R., & Bodin, Ö. (2015). Collaborative governance for climate change adaptation in Canada: Experimenting with adaptive co-management. *Regional Environmental Change*, 16(3), 747–758. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-015-0790-5>

- Beithou, N., Al-Taani, M., Hilal, M., & Abdellatif, Y. (2010). Proposed energy saving techniques for multi-apartment buildings in Jordan. *International Journal of Thermal & Environmental Engineering*, 3(1), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.5383/ijtee.03.01.005>
- Belenguer, E., García, N., & Sabater-Grande, G. (2019). Assessment of energy efficiency improvement methods in the residential sector through the development of economic experiments. *SN Applied Sciences*, 1(11), 1409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1439-7>
- Bell, E., Fencl, A., & Mullin, M. (2022). External drivers of participation in regional collaborative water planning. *Policy Studies Journal*, 50(4), 945–969. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12473>
- Bera, M., Das, S., Garai, S., Dutta, S., Choudhury, M. R., Tripathi, S., & Chatterjee, G. (2025). Advancing energy efficiency: Innovative technologies and strategic measures for achieving net zero emissions. *Carbon Footprints*, 4, 3. <https://doi.org/10.20517/cf.2024.48>
- Biondi, A., Caponi, P., Cecere, C., & Sciubba, E. (2024). An exergy-based analysis of the effects of public incentives on the so-called “energy efficiency” of the residential sector, with emphasis on primary resource use and economics of scale. *Frontiers in Sustainability*, 5, 1397416. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2024.1397416>
- Birben, Ü., Elvan, O. D., Aydın, A., Perkumienė, D., Škėma, M., & Aleinikovas, M. (2025). Property rights for forest carbon: A conceptual perspective. *Sustainability*, 17(2), 442. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17020442>
- Brandenburg, M. (2015). Low carbon supply chain configuration for a new product – a goal programming approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53(21), 6588–6610. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2015.1005761>
- Buloshi, A., & Ramadan, E. (2015). Climate change awareness and perception amongst the inhabitants of Muscat Governorate, Oman. *American Journal of Climate Change*, 4(4), 330–336. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcc.2015.44026>
- Burke, T., Rowland, C. S., Whyatt, J. D., Blackburn, G. A., & Abbott, J. (2023). Spatially targeting national-scale afforestation for multiple ecosystem services. *Applied Geography*, 159, 103064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2023.103064>
- Cao, H. (2025). Integrating energy efficiency, sustainable materials, and eco-city planning: A holistic approach to green building design. *Applied Computing and Engineering*, 123, 17–23.
- Carmen, E., Fazey, I., Ross, H., Bedinger, M., Smith, F., Prager, K., & Morrison, D. (2022). Building community resilience in a context of climate change: The role of social capital. *Ambio*, 51(6), 1371–1387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01678-9>
- Castro, C. V. (2022). Holistic systems-thinking for policy coherence: A case study of socio-institutional challenges and opportunities for improved adoption of nature-based solutions. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 136, 413–427. <https://doi.org/10.31223/x5m32s>
- Chai, S., Qiao, H., & Li, Y. (2024). Impact of household population ageing on carbon emissions: Micro-scale evidence from China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 12, 1324771. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1324771>
- Chakraborty, S., & Thakur, N. (2024). *Reflections of adult learners on binge-watching and its detrimental impact on the environment in West Bengal, India* [Preprint]. MDPI Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202407.2168.v1>
- Chandel, R., Chandel, S. S., Prasad, D., & Dwivedi, R. P. (2024). Sustainable passive solar and photovoltaic integrated technology interventions for climate responsive net zero energy buildings in western Himalayan mountainous terrain of India. *Next Sustainability*, 3, 100039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nxsus.2024.100039>
- Chang, C. L., Ilomäki, J., & Laurila, H. (2024). Has the EU emissions trading system worked properly? *Energies*, 17(15), 3651. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17153651>
- Chazdon, R. L. (2019). *Second growth: The promise of tropical forest regeneration in an age of deforestation*. University of Chicago Press.
- Cho, S., Baral, S., & Burlakoti, D. (2025). Afforestation/reforestation and avoided conversion carbon projects in the United States. *Forests*, 16(1), 115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f16010115>
- Chramate, I. (2019). Consumption energy saving of an energy audit within industry in Morocco. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, 8(1), 136–140. <https://doi.org/10.30534/ijatcse/2019/2781.52019>
- Coninck, H., & Puig, D. (2015). Assessing climate change mitigation technology interventions by international institutions. *Climatic Change*, 131(3), 417–433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1344-z>
- Ćosić, B., Markovska, N., Krajačić, G., Taseska, V., & Duić, N. (2012). Environmental and economic aspects of higher RES penetration into Macedonian power system. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 43, 158–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.10.042>
- Cox, B., Innis, S., Kunz, N., & Steen, J. (2022). The mining industry as a net beneficiary of a global tax on carbon emissions. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 3(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00346-4>
- Craig, M., Jaramillo, P., Zhai, H., & Klima, K. (2017). The economic merits of flexible carbon capture and sequestration as a compliance strategy with the Clean Power Plan. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(3), 1102–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03652>
- Cusens, J., Barraclough, A. D., & Måren, I. E. (2024). Socio-cultural values and biophysical supply: How do afforestation and land abandonment impact multiple ecosystem services? *Land Use Policy*, 136, 106967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2023.106967>
- Dangles, O., Loirat, J., Freour, C., Serre, S., Vacher, J., & Roux, X. (2016). Research on biodiversity and climate change at a distance: Collaboration networks between Europe and Latin America and the Caribbean. *PLOS ONE*, 11(6), e0157441. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157441>
- Deregius, D., Quartino, M., Zacher, K., Campana, G., & Barnes, D. (2017). Understanding the link between sea ice, ice scour and Antarctic benthic biodiversity—the need for cross-station and international collaboration. *Polar Record*, 53(2), 143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0032247416000875>
- Díaz, S., Pascual, U., Stenseke, M., Martín-López, B., Watson, R. T., Molnár, Z., ... & Shirayama, Y. (2018). Assessing nature's contributions to people. *Science*, 359(6373), 270–272. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8823>
- Doss-Gollin, J., Farnham, D., Steinschneider, S., & Lall, U. (2019). Robust adaptation to multiscale climate variability. *Earth's Future*, 7(7), 734–747. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019ef001154>
- Duan, H., Zhang, S., Duan, S., Zhang, W., Duan, Z., Wang, S., & Wang, X. (2019). Carbon emissions peak prediction and the reduction pathway in buildings during operation in Jilin

- province based on LEAP. *Sustainability*, 11(17), 4540. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11174540>
- Duan, Q., Dargusch, P., & Hill, G. (2022). Carbon management behind the ambitious pledge of net zero carbon emission—A case study of PepsiCo. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2171. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042171>
- Duveiller, G., Filipponi, F., Ceglar, A., Bojanowski, J., Alkama, R., & Cescatti, A. (2021). Revealing the widespread potential of forests to increase low level cloud cover. *Nature Communications*, 12, 4337. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24551-5>
- Ekechukwu, D., & Simpa, P. (2024). A comprehensive review of renewable energy integration for climate resilience. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(6), 1884–1908. <https://doi.org/10.51594/est.v5i6.1187>
- Elias, F., Djenontin, I. N., Kamoto, J. F., & Mansourian, S. (2024). Accelerating forest landscape restoration monitoring in Africa: Informing tangible actions from a practical perspective. *Restoration Ecology*, 33(3), e14366. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.14366>
- Ensor, J., & Harvey, B. (2015). Social learning and climate change adaptation: Evidence for international development practice. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 6(5), 509–522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.348>
- Fiegenbaum, H. (2024). *Complementing carbon credits from forest-related activities with biodiversity insurance and resilience value* (Version 1). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.08452>
- Fitriana, I., Hadiyanto, H., Warsito, B., Hilmawan, E., Santosa, J., & Sugiyono, A. (2023). Implementation of electric vehicles to support energy conservation and efficiency improvement in the transportation sector in Indonesia. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 1268(1), 012051. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1268/1/012051>
- Fitzpatrick, M. C., & Dunn, R. R. (2019). Contemporary climatic analogs for 540 North American urban areas in the late 21st century. *Nature Communications*, 10, 614. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08540-3>
- Franklin, A. L., Grossman, A., Le, J., & Shafer, M. (2018). Creating broader research impacts through boundary organizations. *Public Administration Review*, 79(2), 215–224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12985>
- Gallaher, A., Graziano, M., Atkinson-Palombo, C., & Scruggs, L. (2024). Electrifying the road: Navigating the transition to electric vehicles in Connecticut through hybrid insights and fleet evolution. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 459, 142574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.142574>
- Geldmann, J., Manica, A., Burgess, N. D., Coad, L., & Balmford, A. (2019). A global-level assessment of the effectiveness of protected areas at resisting anthropogenic pressures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(46), 23209–23215. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908221116>
- Ghanim, I. (2024). A systematic literature review on energy efficiency in buildings energy management systems. *International Innovative Journal of Applied Science*, 1(2), 1–8.
- Gopinath, I., Arulmozhi, P., & Murugan, S. (2023). Awareness and practices regarding climate change and its effects on health, in an urban community: A cross sectional study. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*, 10(11), 4273–4279. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20233462>
- Grise, K. M. (2022). Atmospheric circulation constraints on 21st century seasonal precipitation storylines for the southwestern United States. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(17), e2022GL099443. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gl099443>
- Guo, Y., Tian, J., & Chen, L. (2020). Managing energy infrastructure to decarbonize industrial parks in China. *Nature Communications*, 11, 981. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14805-z>
- Hamilton, L. C. (2016). Public awareness of the scientific consensus on climate. *SAGE Open*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244016676296>
- Hamilton, M., & Lubell, M. (2017). Collaborative governance of climate change adaptation across spatial and institutional scales. *Policy Studies Journal*, 46(2), 222–247. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12224>
- Hasan, A. M., & Trianni, A. (2023). Boosting the adoption of industrial energy efficiency measures through Industry 4.0 technologies to improve operational performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 425, 138597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138597>
- Hasegawa, T., Fujimori, S., Ito, A., & Takahashi, K. (2024). Careful selection of forest types in afforestation can increase carbon sequestration by 25% without compromising sustainability. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 5(1), 171. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01336-4>
- He, B., Miao, L., Cui, X., & Wu, Z. (2015). Carbon sequestration from China's afforestation projects. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74(7), 5491–5499. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4559-4>
- Hızıroğlu, Ö. A., & Semiz, T. (2024). Artificial intelligence in forestry: A comprehensive analysis of current applications and future perspectives. *Kastamonu University Journal of Forestry Faculty*, 24(1), 303–334. <https://doi.org/10.17475/kastormyo.1417537>
- Höijer, B. (2010). Emotional anchoring and objectification in the media reporting on climate change. *Public Understanding of Science*, 19(6), 717–731. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662509348863>
- Hong, S., Cong, N., Ding, J., Piao, S., Liu, L., Peñuelas, J., & Houlton, B. Z. (2023). Effects of afforestation on soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation depend on initial soil nitrogen status. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 37(1), e2022GB007490. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gb007490>
- Hoy, Z. L., Leong, J. X., & Woon, K. S. (2023). Post-COVID-19 pandemic and the Paris Agreement: A socioeconomic analysis and carbon emissions forecasting in developed and developing countries. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 26(5), 1537–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-023-02508-0>
- Huan, Y. (2025). Research on energy-efficient building design using target function optimization and genetic neural networks. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Energy Web*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.4108/ew.6709>
- Hudson, R. (2005). Towards sustainable economic practices, flows and spaces: Or is the necessary impossible and the impossible necessary? *Sustainable Development*, 13(4), 239–252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.282>
- Hughes, S., Yordi, S., & Besco, L. (2018). The role of pilot projects in urban climate change policy innovation. *Policy Studies Journal*, 48(2), 271–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12288>
- Humpenöder, F., Popp, A., Dietrich, J. P., Klein, D., Lotze-Campen, H., Bonsch, M., & Müller, C. (2014). Investigating afforestation and bioenergy CCS as climate change mitigation strategies. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(6), 064029. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/6/064029>
- Hwang, H., An, S., Lee, E., Han, S., & Lee, C. (2021). Cross-societal analysis of climate change awareness and its relation to SDG

- 13: A knowledge synthesis from text mining. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5596. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13105596>
- Hwang, S., Lee, J., & Jang, D. (2024). Climate change awareness and pro-environmental intentions in sports fans: Applying the extended theory of planned behavior model for sustainable spectating. *Sustainability*, 16(8), 3246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16083246>
- Igugu, H. O., Laubscher, J., Mapossa, A. B., Popoola, P. A., & Dada, M. (2024). Energy efficiency in buildings: Performance gaps and sustainable materials. *Encyclopedia*, 4(4), 1411–1432. <https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia4040089>
- Ioshchikhes, B., Frank, M., & Weigold, M. (2024). A systematic review of expert systems for improving energy efficiency in the manufacturing industry. *Energies*, 17(19), 4780. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en17194780>
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2023). *Climate change 2023: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-synthesis/>
- Jänicke, M. (2015). Horizontal and vertical reinforcement in global climate governance. *Energies*, 8(6), 5782–5799. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en8065782>
- Kabir, K. H., Aurko, S. Y., & Rahman, M. S. (2021). Smart power management in OIC countries: A critical overview using SWOT-AHP and hybrid MCDM analysis. *Energies*, 14(20), 6480. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14206480>
- Kemp, K. B., Blades, J. J., Klos, P. Z., Hall, T. E., Force, J. E., Morgan, P., & Tinkham, W. T. (2015). Managing for climate change on federal lands of the western United States: Perceived usefulness of climate science, effectiveness of adaptation strategies, and barriers to implementation. *Ecology and Society*, 20(2), 17. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-07522-200217>
- Khan, M. Z. A., Khan, H. A., Ravi, S. S., Turner, J. W., & Aziz, M. (2023). Potential of clean liquid fuels in decarbonizing transportation—An overlooked net-zero pathway? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 183, 113483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113483>
- Khanna, N., Zhou, N., Fridley, D., & Ke, J. (2016). Quantifying the potential impacts of China's power-sector policies on coal input and CO2 emissions through 2050: A bottom-up perspective. *Utilities Policy*, 41, 128–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2016.07.001>
- Kim, D., Cho, H., Koh, J., & Im, P. (2020). Net-zero energy building design and life-cycle cost analysis with air-source variable refrigerant flow and distributed photovoltaic systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 118, 109508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109508>
- Landman, W. A., Barnston, A. G., Vogel, C., & Savy, J. (2019). Use of El Niño–Southern Oscillation related seasonal precipitation predictability in developing regions for potential societal benefit. *International Journal of Climatology*, 39(14), 5327–5337. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6157>
- Lewis, S. L., Wheeler, C. E., Mitchard, E. T., & Koch, A. (2019). Restoring natural forests is the best way to remove atmospheric carbon. *Nature*, 568(7750), 25–28. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1066-y>
- Li, Y., & Zhang, J. (2024). Research on the carbon emission reduction effect of the dual pilot policy of low-carbon cities and new energy demonstration cities. *Sustainability*, 16(21), 9572. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219572>
- Liu, J. (2022). From afforestation to forest landscape restoration in DPRK: Gaps and challenges. *Trees, Forests and People*, 8, 100243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2022.100243>
- Liu, X., Ding, J., & Zhao, W. (2023). Divergent responses of ecosystem services to afforestation and grassland restoration in the Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 344, 118471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118471>
- Lo, K. Y., Yeoh, J. H., & Hsieh, I. Y. L. (2023). Towards nearly zero-energy buildings: Smart energy management of vehicle-to-building (V2B) strategy and renewable energy sources. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 99, 104941. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2023.104941>
- Lonsdale, W. M., Kretser, H. E., Chetkiewicz, C. L., & Cross, M. S. (2017). Similarities and differences in barriers and opportunities affecting climate change adaptation action in four North American landscapes. *Environmental Management*, 60(6), 1076–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-017-0933-1>
- Loporcaro, C., Albino, V., & Natalicchio, A. (2023). Regional legacy effects on radically innovative new ventures' risks. *The Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 32(2), 376–419. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09713557231184464>
- Mandel, T., Kranzl, L., Popovski, E., Sensfuß, F., Müller, A., & Eichhammer, W. (2023). Investigating pathways to a net-zero emissions building sector in the European Union: What role for the energy efficiency first principle? *Energy Efficiency*, 16(4), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-023-10103-6>
- Mastrucci, A., Boza-Kiss, B., & van Ruijven, B. J. (2024). Towards net-zero emissions in global residential heating and cooling: A global scenario analysis. *Climatic Change*, 178(4), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-024-03734-7>
- Mata, É., Kalagasidis, A. S., & Johnsson, F. (2013). Energy usage and technical potential for energy saving measures in the Swedish residential building stock. *Energy Policy*, 55, 404–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.12.023>
- Mateo, M. C. G., & Tillie, N. (2022). Enabling the mainstreaming of nature-based solutions into policy-making and governance. *EGU General Assembly 2022*, Vienna, Austria. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-10493>
- Matsunaga, F., Zytkowski, V., Valle, P., & Deschamps, F. (2022). Optimization of energy efficiency in smart manufacturing through the application of cyber-physical systems and Industry 4.0 technologies. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 144(10), 102104. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4053913>
- Mavrodieva, A. V., Rachman, O. K., Harahap, V. P., & Shaw, R. (2019). Role of social media as a soft power tool in raising public awareness and engagement in addressing climate change. *Climate*, 7(10), 122. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7100122>
- McEvoy, D., Iyer-Raniga, U., Ho, S., Mitchell, D., Jegatheesan, V., & Brown, N. (2019). Integrating teaching and learning with inter-disciplinary action research in support of climate resilient urban development. *Sustainability*, 11(23), 6701. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11236701>
- Missanjo, E., Mberema, E., & Mbango, O. (2025). Sustainable land use and value addition practices to combat land degradation and enhance food security in arid and semi-arid regions. *Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology*, 17(1), 31–41.
- Mohan, M., Richardson, G., Gopan, G., Aghai, M. M., Bajaj, S., Galgamuwa, G. P., & Cardil, A. (2021). UAV-supported forest regeneration: Current trends, challenges and implications. *Remote Sensing*, 13(14), 2596. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13142596>

- Morkovina, S. S., Yakovenko, N. V., Sheshnitsan, S. S., Kuznetsov, D., Shashkin, A., Tretyakov, A., & Stepanova, J. (2024). Potential and investment attractiveness of implementing climate projects on disturbed lands. *Sustainability*, *16*(19), 8562. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198562>
- Morshed, A. S. M., & Shahjalal, M. (2024). Optimizing energy efficiency: A comprehensive analysis of building design parameters. *Academic Journal of Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics and Education*, *4*(4), 10–69.
- Murillo, S., Potts, J., & Castellanos, S. (2024). Modeling the impact of electric vehicle adoption and charging strategies in ERCOT. *Environmental Research: Energy*, *1*(4), 045016. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2753-3751/ad7802>
- Mustapha, Z., Abilgah, T., & Tieru, C. K. (2025). Enhancing energy efficiency and management in smart buildings: A holistic approach. *Journal of Applied Science and Technology Trends*, *6*(1), 16–24. <https://doi.org/10.38094/jastt61204>
- Myers, T. A., Nisbet, M. C., Maibach, E. W., & Leiserowitz, A. A. (2012). A public health frame arouses hopeful emotions about climate change. *Climatic Change*, *113*(3-4), 1105–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0513-6>
- Neugebauer, G., Kretschmer, F., Kollmann, R., Narodoslowsky, M., Ertl, T., & Stoeglehner, G. (2015). Mapping thermal energy resource potentials from wastewater treatment plants. *Sustainability*, *7*(10), 12988–13010. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su71012988>
- Nhật, T. N. (2024). Improving energy efficiency. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *24*(3), 2254–2257. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.3.3899>
- Novelli, N., Shultz, J. S., Aly Etman, M., Phillips, K., Vollen, J. O., Jensen, M., & Dyson, A. (2022). Towards energy-positive buildings through a quality-matched energy flow strategy. *Sustainability*, *14*(7), 4275. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14074275>
- Octacteria, S., & Rahardja, L. (2020). Carbon emission reduction's impact on a company's performance. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Management, Accounting, and Economy (ICMAE 2020)* (pp. 257–262). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200915.059>
- Oldfield, E. E., Warren, R. J., Felson, A. J., & Bradford, M. A. (2013). Challenges and future directions in urban afforestation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *50*(5), 1169–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12124>
- Pan, A., Zhang, W., Xie, Q., Dai, L., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Do carbon emissions accelerate low-carbon innovation? Evidence from 285 Chinese prefecture-level cities. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(36), 50510–50524. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14291-w>
- Pappalardo, C. (2025). Financing Europe's transition to a low-carbon economy. In *The European Environmental Conscience in the EU: Finance, Innovation, and External Relations of the EU* (p. 65). Routledge/Taylor & Francis.
- Pita, K., Wickham, S. B., Davis, E. L., Lauriault, P., Johnson, A., Le, N. Q., & Trant, A. J. (2024). How does restoration ecology consider climate change uncertainties in forested ecosystems? *Restoration Ecology*, *32*(8), e14265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.14265>
- Poortinga, W., Spence, A., Whitmarsh, L., Capstick, S., & Pidgeon, N. (2011). Uncertain climate: An investigation into public scepticism about anthropogenic climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, *21*(3), 1015–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.03.001>
- Prangel, E., Kasari-Toussaint, L., Neuenkamp, L., Noreika, N., Karise, R., Marja, R., & Helm, A. (2023). Afforestation and abandonment of semi-natural grasslands lead to biodiversity loss and a decline in ecosystem services and functions. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *60*(5), 825–836. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14375>
- Price, C. R., Nimbalkar, S. U., Thirumaran, K., & Cresko, J. (2023). Smart manufacturing pathways for industrial decarbonization and thermal process intensification. *Smart and Sustainable Manufacturing Systems*, *7*(1), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1520/SSMS20220031>
- Rahman, M., Kabir, E., Akon, A., & Ando, K. (2015). High carbon stocks in roadside plantations under participatory management in Bangladesh. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *3*, 412–423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2015.01.011>
- Ren, J., & Coffman, G. (2023). Integrating the resilience concept into ecosystem restoration. *Restoration Ecology*, *31*(5), e13907. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13907>
- Rhoné, B., Defrance, D., Berthouly-Salazar, C., Mariac, C., Cubry, P., Couderc, M., & Vigouroux, Y. (2020). Pearl millet genomic vulnerability to climate change in West Africa highlights the need for regional collaboration. *Nature Communications*, *11*, 5274. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19066-4>
- Ribeiro, J., Falanga, R., & Moniz, J. (2025). Assessing the role of democratic innovations in environmental sustainability: A systematic literature review. *Sustainable Development*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3545>
- Ricart, S., Cantos, J., & Amorós, A. (2018). Evaluating public attitudes and farmers' beliefs towards climate change adaptation: Awareness, perception, and populism at European level. *Land*, *8*(1), 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land8010004>
- Rinaldi, A., Syla, A., Patel, M. K., & Parra, D. (2023). Optimal pathways for the decarbonisation of the transport sector: Trade-offs between battery and hydrogen technologies using a whole energy system perspective. *Cleaner Production Letters*, *5*, 100044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clpl.2023.100044>
- Rosenow, J., & Eyre, N. (2022). Reinventing energy efficiency for net zero. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *90*, 102602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102602>
- Ruan, X. (2019). A study on energy consumption and reduction technology in buildings' HVAC. *Journal of World Architecture*, *3*(3), 5–8. <https://doi.org/10.26689/jwa.v3i3.802>
- Sabir, T., Haider, S. T., Ahmad, Z., Yasir, A., & Razaq, A. (2024). A literature survey on advanced insulation material in buildings. *Journal of Syntax Transformation*, *5*(12), 1335–1352. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jst.v5i12.1158>
- Saidaliyeva, Z., Muccione, V., Shahgedanova, M., Bigler, S., Adler, C., & Yapiyev, V. (2024). Adaptation to climate change in the mountain regions of Central Asia: A systematic literature review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *15*(5), e891. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.891>
- Saraji, S., & Borowczak, M. (2021). *A blockchain-based carbon credit ecosystem* [Preprint]. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.00185>
- Savo, V., Morton, C., & Lepofsky, D. (2017). Impacts of climate change for coastal fishers and implications for fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*, *18*(5), 877–889. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12212>
- Seavy, N. E., Gardali, T., Golet, G. H., Griggs, F. T., Howell, C. A., Kelsey, R., & Weigand, J. (2009). Why climate change makes riparian restoration more important than ever: Recommendations for practice and research. *Ecological Restoration*, *27*(3), 330–338. <https://doi.org/10.3368/er.27.3.330>
- Seddon, N., Daniels, E., Davis, R., Chausson, A., Harris, R., Hou-

- Jones, X., & Wicander, S. (2020). Global recognition of the importance of nature-based solutions to the impacts of climate change. *Global Sustainability*, 3, e15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2020.8>
- Segun-Falade, O. D., Osundare, O. S., Kedi, W. E., Okeleke, P. A., Ijomah, T. I., & Abdul-Azeez, O. Y. (2024). Developing innovative software solutions for effective energy management systems in industry. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(8), 2649–2669. <https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i8.1365>
- Seraj, M., Parvez, M., Khan, O., & Yahya, Z. (2024). Optimizing smart building energy management systems through Industry 4.0: A response surface methodology approach. *Green Technologies and Sustainability*, 2(2), 100079. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gtechs.2024.100079>
- Shahid, Z. (2023). Awareness for better adaptation strategy development for climate change impacts in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Science*, 67(4), 419–421. <https://doi.org/10.57041/pjs.v67i4.414>
- Shi, H., & He, X. (2023). The legal guarantee for achieving carbon peak and neutrality goals in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(3), 2555. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20032555>
- Soni, R., Dvivedi, A., & Kumar, P. (2025). Carbon neutrality in transportation: In the context of renewable sources. *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 19(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15568318.2024.2325345>
- Srouf, N., Thiffault, É., & Boucher, J. (2024). Exploring the potential of roadside plantation for carbon sequestration using simulation in Southern Quebec, Canada. *Forests*, 15(2), 264. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f15020264>
- Stanišić, M., Lovrić, M., Nedeljković, J., Nonić, D., & Malovrh, Š. (2021). Climate change governance in forestry and nature conservation in selected forest regions in Serbia: Stakeholders classification and collaboration. *Forests*, 12(6), 709. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f12060709>
- Stephens, J. C. (2024). The dangers of masculine technological optimism: Why feminist, antiracist values are essential for social justice, economic justice, and climate justice. *Environmental Values*, 33(1), 58–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09632719231208752>
- Sterle, K., & Singletary, L. (2017). Adapting to variable water supply in the Truckee-Carson River system, western USA. *Water*, 9(10), 768. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9100768>
- Su, J., Friess, D. A., & Gasparatos, A. (2021). A meta-analysis of the ecological and economic outcomes of mangrove restoration. *Nature Communications*, 12, 5050. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25349-1>
- Sulistiyawati, S., Mulasari, S. A., & Sukei, T. W. (2018). Assessment of knowledge regarding climate change and health among adolescents in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2018, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9716831>
- Sundaramoorthy, S., Kamath, D., Nimbalkar, S., Price, C., Wenning, T., & Cresko, J. (2023). Energy efficiency as a foundational technology pillar for industrial decarbonization. *Sustainability*, 15(12), 9487. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15129487>
- Sweileh, W. M. (2020). Bibliometric analysis of peer-reviewed literature on food security in the context of climate change from 1980 to 2019. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 9, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-020-00266-6>
- Swinfield, T., & Scott, E. T. (2025). *Scientific credibility for high-integrity voluntary carbon markets* [Preprint]. Cambridge Open Engage. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-f0j70-v2>
- Tang, D., Zhang, Y., & Bethel, B. J. (2020). A comprehensive evaluation of carbon emission reduction capability in the Yangtze River economic belt. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(2), 545. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17020545>
- Timilsina, R. R., Zhang, J., Rahut, D. B., Patradool, K., & Sonobe, T. (2025). Global drive toward net-zero emissions and sustainability via electric vehicles: An integrative critical review. *Energy, Ecology and Environment*, 10(2), 125–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-024-00342-1>
- Tsai, Y. C. (2025). Enhancing transparency and fraud detection in carbon credit markets through blockchain-based visualization techniques. *Electronics*, 14(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14010157>
- Türker, E. (2019). *Sustainability strategies in construction companies; Investigation of its effect on competitiveness and firm sustainability performance* [Unpublished master's thesis]. Balikesir University.
- Vincent, B. C. (2024). Natural forest regeneration and tree planting complexity: An enigma of climate mitigation in the world of technology. *Journal of Kenya National Commission for UNESCO*, 4(1), 1–17.
- von Malmberg, F. (2024). *The eye of every storm: Policy entrepreneurs to strengthen the role of energy efficiency in EU climate policy* [Preprint]. MDPI Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1073.v2>
- Wall, T. U., Meadow, A. M., & Horganic, A. (2017). Developing evaluation indicators to improve the process of coproducing usable climate science. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 9(1), 95–107. <https://doi.org/10.1175/wcas-d-16-0008.1>
- Wang, H., Yue, C., & Luyssaert, S. (2023). Reconciling different approaches to quantifying land surface temperature impacts of afforestation using satellite observations. *Biogeosciences*, 20(1), 75–92. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-75-2023>
- Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Zhang, M., & Wang, H. (2021). Waste converting through by-product synergy: An insight from three-echelon supply chain. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(7), 9734–9754. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16100-w>
- Wang, Z. (2024). Research on application of electric vehicles in urban transportation systems for greenhouse gas reduction. *Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 121, 432–439. <https://doi.org/10.54097/tafm0554>
- Waring, B., Neumann, M., Prentice, I. C., Adams, M., Smith, P., & Siebert, M. (2020). Forests and decarbonization—Roles of natural and planted forests. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 3, 534891. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.00058>
- Weber, S., & Wiesmeth, H. (2018). Environmental awareness: The case of climate change. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 4(4), 328–345. <https://doi.org/10.3897/j.ruje.4.33619>
- Webster, R., Harrison, M., & Zitkyte, G. (2024). *Digital tools to mitigate climate change: A scoping review* [Preprint]. OSF Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/92sp3>
- Whitney, C. K., Conger, T., Ban, N. C., & McPhie, R. (2020). Synthesizing and communicating climate change impacts to inform coastal adaptation planning. *FACETS*, 5(1), 704–737. <https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2019-0027>
- Wilson, P. R., Clark, S. M., & Scott, C. P. (2024). Energy efficiency optimization in mechanical systems: Innovative approaches in industrial applications. *International Journal of Industrial Innovation and Mechanical Engineering*, 1(2), 28–32. <https://doi.org/10.61132/ijime.v1i2.61>
- Wu, Z., & Sun, Y. (2022). How to treat gossip in internet public carbon emission reduction projects? *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12809. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912809>

- Xiao, Z. (2024). Beyond a green future: A comprehensive analysis of electrification in transportation - Opportunities, challenges, and suggestions. *Applied and Computational Engineering*, 59, 260–267. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/59/20240817>
- Yang, X., Guo, W., Gao, X., & Zhao, Z. (2025). Low-carbon optimization model of energy-transportation system based on parking processes and hydrogen conversion processes. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2024.2389124>
- Yang, Y., & Xu, X. (2023). Production and carbon emission abatement decisions under different carbon policies: Supply chain network equilibrium models with consumers' low-carbon awareness. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 31(4), 2734–2764. <https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.13242>
- Yıldız, C. (2024). Sanayide enerji verimliliğinde son gelişmeler: Türkiye örneği. *Gazi University Journal of Science Part C: Design and Technology*, 12(3), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.29109/gujsc.1442017>
- Yosef, G., Walko, R., Avisar, R., Tatarinov, F., Rotenberg, E., & Yakir, D. (2018). Large-scale semi-arid afforestation can enhance precipitation and carbon sequestration potential. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 996. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19265-6>
- Yu, L., Liu, T., Tu, Y., Chen, X., Du, Z., Wu, H., & Zhou, Y. (2025). *Afforestation on abandoned croplands in China has the potential to increase carbon sequestration by half* [Preprint]. Research Square. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6119575/v1>
- Yu, S., Zhang, S., & Yuizono, T. (2021). Exploring the influences of innovation climate and resource endowments through two types of university–industry collaborative activities on regional sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7559. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147559>
- Zach, F., Erker, S., & Stoeglehner, G. (2019). Factors influencing the environmental and economic feasibility of district heating systems—A perspective from integrated spatial and energy planning. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 9, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-019-0202-7>
- Zhan, H. (2024). Environmental impacts of transportation electrification: A comparative analysis of energy efficiency and pollution reduction. *Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 121, 232–236. <https://doi.org/10.54097/m1j7q837>
- Zhao, Y., Li, M., Long, R., Liu, Z., & Liu, W. (2023). Techno-economic analysis of converting low-grade heat into electricity and hydrogen. *Carbon Neutrality*, 2(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43979-023-00057-0>
- Zhou, G., Zhou, X., Eldridge, D. J., Han, X., Song, Y., Liu, R., & Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2022). Temperature and rainfall patterns constrain the multidimensional rewilding of global forests. *Advanced Science*, 9(18), 2201144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202201144>
- Zou, F., Zhou, Y., & Yuan, C. (2020). The impact of retailers' low-carbon investment on the supply chain under carbon tax and carbon trading policies. *Sustainability*, 12(9), 3597. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093597>